	Case 3:23-cv-01440-AGT Document 2	2 Filed 04/28/23	Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN L PATRICK HAMMON (255047) patrick.hammon@pillsburylaw.com ANDREW K. PARKHURST (324173) andrew.parkhurst@pillsburylaw.com 2550 Hanover Street Palo Alto, CA 94304-1115 Telephone: 650.233.4500 Facsimile: 650.233.4545 Attorneys for Defendants, BLOOM INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; AUSTEN ALLRED	LP	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
11			
12	JESSICA FULLER, et al.	Case No. 3:23	-cv-01440-AGT
13	Plaintiff,	DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND AND FOR FEES AND COSTS	
14	VS.		
15	BLOOM INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY, et al.,	Time:	May 19, 2023 10:00 a.m.
16	Defendant.	Dept: Judge:	Courtroom A, 15 th Floor Magistrate Alex G. Tse
17			
18			
19			
20			
21 22			
22			
23			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	-1-		
	-	1-	

MEMORANDUM

Out of an abundance of caution, Defendants Bloom Institute of Technology, formerly d/b/a Lambda School, and Austen Allred (together "Defendants") file this Opposition to Plaintiffs' Motion for Remand filed on April 17, 2023 (Dkt. 17), pending the Court's decision regarding the parties' joint stipulation for an order extending time with respect to Defendants' motions filed on April 12, 2023. (Dkt. 20.) That submission included Plaintiffs' acknowledgement that their Motion is now moot. (*Id.*)

8 By way of background, the parties filed a joint stipulation requesting an extension to 9 Plaintiffs' time to respond, and accordingly Defendants' time to file a reply, with respect to 10 Defendants' Motion to Compel Arbitration and Stay the Case (Dkt. 10); Defendant Bloom Institute 11 of Technology's Motion to Dismiss under 12(b)(6) (Dkt. 11); Defendant Austen Allred's Motion to 12 Dismiss under 12(b)(6) and to Strike under 12(f) (Dkt. 12) on April 24, 2023. (Dkt. 20.) In that 13 submission, Plaintiffs included a footnote that indicated that their Motion for Remand filed on April 14 17, 2023, is now moot in light of Defendants' Amended Notice of Removal filed on April 19, 2023, 15 and stated that "Plaintiffs hereby withdraw their [Motion for Remand]." (Dkts. 17, 19, 20.)

Based on Plaintiffs' statement that their Motion for Remand is now moot, and that they have
withdrawn their Motion, Defendants make this submission to ensure that the Court is aware of why
they are not filing a substantive opposition at this juncture. In the event Plaintiffs' Motion for
Remand is not ultimately mooted or withdrawn, Defendants reserve the right to oppose the Motion
and do not waive any of their arguments.

Dated: April 28, 2023

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

PILLSBURY WINTHROP SHAW PITTMAN LLP

/s/ Patrick Hammon By: PATRICK HAMMON ANDREW K. PARKHURST Attorneys for Defendants, BLOOM INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY; AUSTEN ALLRED

DEFENDANTS' OPPOSITION TO PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO REMAND AND FOR FEES AND COSTS Case No: 3:23-CV-01440-AGT

-2-